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Abstract

We present here our implementation of a time-reversible, multiple time step (MTS) method for full QM and hybrid QM/MM Born-Oppenheimer molecular dynamics simulations. The method relies on a fully flexible combination of electronic structure methods, from density functional theory to wavefunction-based quantum chemistry methods, to evaluate the nuclear forces in the reference and in the correction steps. The possibility of combining different electronic structure methods is based on the observation that exchange and correlation terms only contribute to low frequency modes of nuclear forces. We show how a pair of low/high level electronic structure methods that individually would lead to very different system properties can be efficiently combined in the reference and correction steps of this MTS scheme. The current MTS implementation makes it possible to perform highly accurate \textit{ab-initio} molecular dynamics simulations at reduced computational cost. Stable and accurate trajectories were obtained with time steps of several femtoseconds, similar and even exceeding the ones
usually adopted in classical molecular dynamics, in particular when using a generalised Langevin stochastic thermostat. Compared to the standard Velocity Verlet integration, the present MTS scheme allows for a 5 to 6-fold overall speedup, at an unaltered level of accuracy.

1 Introduction

Ab Initio molecular dynamics (AIMD) simulations are valuable tools to explore static, dynamical and electronic properties of biomolecular and condensed phase systems at a high level of accuracy, without relying on pre-constructed, empirical force fields. On the other hand, in standard Born-Oppenheimer AIMD the evaluation of the forces acting on the nuclei requires a calculation of the electronic ground (or excited) state energy and its derivatives with respect to the nuclear positions at each MD step: As ab initio force calculations are orders of magnitude more computationally expensive than force evaluations based on empirical force fields, AIMD simulations are only feasible for small size systems (few hundreds of atoms) and short time windows (hundreds of picoseconds). For a fixed-size problem the computational cost of an MD simulation depends on two competing time scales: the time scale of the relevant phenomena determined by the properties one wants to measure (conformational changes, reaction mechanisms, ...), that defines the total simulation time, and time scale of the fastest internal motions, $T_{fast}$, that poses an upper bound to the time step $\delta t$ used to integrate the equations of motion. In the Velocity Verlet (VV) algorithm,\(^1\) a standard integrator in classical and ab initio MD, $\delta t$ must satisfy $\delta t < T_{fast}/\pi$ to achieve stable dynamics.\(^2\) However, much smaller values of $\delta t$, of the order of a tenth of a femtosecond, are generally recommended to avoid large energy fluctuations or artefacts such as spectral shifts of the fastest vibrational frequencies.

A possible route to increase the efficiency of AIMD simulations consists in designing algorithms which support larger integration time steps. Constrained algorithms were introduced to freeze the fastest degrees of freedom (DoF), hence removing the high-frequency modes
from the system. While this approach allows for an increase in the time step, it might determine an alteration of the system properties. It also requires a careful selection of the degrees of freedom to be constrained based on an a priori knowledge of those contributing to the high-frequency region of the vibrational spectrum, not always easy-to-identify in large molecular systems. An alternative and more general approach to enable larger time steps in MD is provided by multiple time step (MTS) methods.

Multiple time step algorithms, originally derived for classical MD, exploit the time scale separation between faster DoF, that can be integrated more frequently, and slower DoF, that can be updated at a comparably lower rate. This approach can lead to a considerable gain in terms of computational time when the slow forces are also the most expensive. In a series of seminal papers published in the early '90, Tuckerman and coworkers presented several MTS algorithms for systems with classical forces (stiff and soft forces, long range and short range forces, disparate masses) which have also the quality of being time-reversible. Their microcanonical, reversible reference system propagation algorithm (rRESPA) has lately been used as a model for a multiplicity of MTS variants applied to ab initio MD, in which a time scale separation is artificially introduced via suitable splittings of the electronic Hamiltonian, combining basis sets of different size or forces calculated ab initio at different accuracy. In the scheme proposed by Steele, Hartree-Fock (HF) forces have been used in the reference steps and second order Møller-Plesset perturbation theory (MP2) contributions were added in the correction steps. As an alternative, in Ref. 21, reference and high level forces have been calculated at the same level of theory, HF or density functional theory (DFT) with hybrid exchange and correlation (XC) functionals, but varying the two-electron integral screening. A similar approach has been used by Guidon et al. within DFT, combining forces from generalised gradient approximation and hybrid XC functionals. rRESPA has been later extended to thermostatted versions which attenuate or even eliminate the resonances emerging when the time step approaches the $T_{\text{fast}}/\pi$ limit and thus allow for even larger time steps in classical MD.
In this paper we present our MTS scheme for accelerating Born-Oppenheimer \textit{ab initio} MD (BOMD), derived as an adaptation of the rRESPA algorithm (see Section 2).\textsuperscript{11} The algorithm has been implemented in the DFT-based, plane-wave code CPMD\textsuperscript{27} and includes a customisable interface with quantum chemistry (QC) codes, allowing for a flexible combination, in the reference and in the correction steps, of different couples of electronic state methods. For instance, DFT XC functionals of different accuracy - from Local Density Approximation (LDA)\textsuperscript{28} to hybrids and meta-hybrids - can be mixed or the dynamics can be corrected with post-HF methods such as MP2.

The assessment of the performances of the present scheme in terms of accuracy and efficiency has been carried out on simple test systems on the base of qualitative and quantitative comparison of static and dynamic properties, as described in Sections 3 and 4. The algorithm allows for a 10-fold increase in the time step and, thanks to a combination with a coloured noise thermostat based on Generalized Langevin Equations (GLE),\textsuperscript{26,29,30} up to a 6-fold speedup. A summary of applicability, advantages and drawbacks of this MTS implementation is presented in the conclusive Section 5.

2 Theory

Before introducing our implementation in detail, we recall here the main steps of the rRESPA algorithm\textsuperscript{11} derivation, useful to establish the general notation.

In the Lagrange formalism, the time evolution from an initial time $t$ to a time $t'$ of a phase space element $\Gamma(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}; t)$ can be obtained via the application of a time propagator $G(t', t)$ as in

\begin{equation}
\Gamma(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}; t') = G_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}}(t', t)\Gamma(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}; t) = e^{i\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}}(t-t')}\Gamma(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}; t) \tag{1}\end{equation}

where $\mathbf{q}$ and $\mathbf{p}$ are the canonically conjugated, 3N dimensional coordinates (positions and
momenta, respectively) and $\mathcal{L}$ is the Liouville operator, that, highlighting the "slow" and "fast" contributions of the nuclear forces and assuming for simplicity that all the particles in the system have the same mass $m$, can be split up into

$$i\mathcal{L}_{q,p} = i\mathcal{L}_{q}^{\text{slow}} + i\mathcal{L}_{q}^{\text{fast}} + i\mathcal{L}_{p}$$

$$= \frac{F_{\text{slow}}(q)}{m} \frac{\partial}{\partial p} + \frac{F_{\text{fast}}(q)}{m} \frac{\partial}{\partial p} + p \frac{\partial}{\partial q}$$

(2)

Notice that in the above we adopted for brevity the Einstein notation, omitting the sum over the particle indices. Within this formulation, the Velocity Verlet algorithm is obtained by separating, via symmetric Trotter factorisation, the kinetic and the potential part of the classical propagator, $\exp(i\mathcal{L}_q t)$ and $\exp(i\mathcal{L}_p t)$. The idea underlying rRESPA is to split the contributions of the forces on the nuclear degrees of freedom according to the time-scale of their variation by a suitable, symmetrical Trotter factorisation of the classical Liouville propagator. The classical propagator can then be written as the product:

$$G(\Delta t) \sim e^{i\mathcal{L}_{q}^{\text{slow}} \frac{\Delta t}{2}} e^{i(\mathcal{L}_{q}^{\text{fast}} + \mathcal{L}_{p}) \frac{\Delta t}{n}} e^{i\mathcal{L}_{q}^{\text{slow}} \frac{\Delta t}{2}}$$

(3)

Adjusting the time step $\Delta t$ to the slower time scale requires an additional factorisation of the middle term, which leads to the final expression of the MTS propagator:

$$G(\Delta t) \sim e^{i\mathcal{L}_{q}^{\text{slow}} \frac{\Delta t}{2}} [e^{i(\mathcal{L}_{q}^{\text{fast}} + \mathcal{L}_{p}) \frac{\Delta t}{n}}] e^{i\mathcal{L}_{q}^{\text{slow}} \frac{\Delta t}{2}}$$

(4)

The action of the inner term is equivalent to $n$ Velocity Verlet propagations with the fast forces only and with a time step $\delta t = \Delta t/n$. The whole MTS propagation can then also be seen as a standard VV propagation in which the total forces have an impulsive component: $F = F_{\text{fast}} + nF_{\text{slow}} \delta(t - n\Delta t)$. 
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2.1 Multiple time step implementation within *ab initio* MD

The key property that makes it possible to apply a multiple time step algorithm such as rRESPA is the existence of two well separated time scales in the system. These time scales can have a physical origin (as in the case of "slow" long range forces and "fast" short range forces in classical MD), but can also be introduced artificially, re-expressing *ad hoc* the interactions in the systems to partition them into two contributions: a "fast" component of the reference forces, and a "slow" component corresponding to the correction term.

In our algorithm, the propagator is formally identical to rRESPA, with the difference that the forces are calculated *ab-initio* instead of being derived from an analytic potential. To climb Jakob’s ladder of the exchange and correlation functionals, a functional on a lower rung is used as a reference while a functional on a higher rung is used only at the larger time step to evaluate the correction.

The forces from the lower functional, $F^L$, play the role of the "fast" forces, while the "slow" forces are given by the difference $F^{slow} = F^H - F^L = \Delta F$, where $F^H$ are the forces calculated at a higher level of accuracy. As exchange and correlation terms only give a minor contribution to the total energy and have no explicit dependence on nuclear coordinates, it is reasonable to assume that the difference $\Delta F$ will be small and smoothly varying in time. Our observation finds its empirical confirmation in panel a) of Figure 1, which illustrates the time evolution along an MTS trajectory of the $x$-component of the forces $F^H$ and $F^L$ on an oxygen atom in liquid water obtained using the PBE0$^{31}$ and the LDA functional, respectively. Comparison of the "pure" forces with their difference $\Delta F$ clearly highlights the different time scales of their variation, on which the applicability of the MTS scheme relies. Similarly, panel b) of the same Figure compares the $x$-component of forces on the H-bonded oxygen in a water dimer evaluated at the DFT-PBE$^{32}$ and at the MP2 level along the same MD trajectory. Also in this case the difference between the forces is small and slowly varying in time compared to each of the "pure" forces. This suggests that the MTS propagation can be applied also using DFT in the reference steps and a different electronic structure method.
in the correction steps.

![Figure 1](image_url)

Figure 1: $x$-component of the total force and of the force difference $F$, along a portion of the MTS trajectory on (a) an oxygen atom in a system of 32 water molecules, calculated using LDA and PBE0 and (b) the hydrogen bond acceptor in a water dimer, calculated using PBE and MP2.

We implemented our MTS scheme in a development version of the DFT-based code for \textit{ab initio} molecular dynamics CPMD v 4.1.\textsuperscript{27} For a combination of DFT and wavefunction-based quantum chemistry methods, we implemented an interface with the quantum chemistry codes GAUSSIAN09 (G09)\textsuperscript{33} and TURBOMOLE.\textsuperscript{34} This interface makes it possible to calculate $F^H$ using post Hartree-Fock methods such as configuration interaction (CI) or order-$n$ Møller-Plesset perturbation theory (MP$n$) and can be easily customised to select any of the methods provided by G09, TURBOMOLE or other packages.

The MTS scheme presented here can be used in full QM as well as in hybrid QM/MM calculations, for microcanonical and for canonical sampling. In the latter case, any of the thermostats available in CPMD can be chosen. The canonical propagator can be derived in the Lagrange formalism. If for instance a Nosé-Hoover thermostat\textsuperscript{35–37} is applied, the total Liouville operator will include additional terms relative to the coordinates and conjugate
momenta of the thermostat, $\eta$ and $p_\eta$, and its interaction with the system

\[
i\mathcal{L} = i\mathcal{L}_{q,p} + i\mathcal{L}_{\eta,P_\eta,P_\eta}
\]

\[
= i\mathcal{L}_{q,\text{slow}} + i\mathcal{L}_{q,\text{fast}} + i\mathcal{L}_p
\]

\[
+ i\mathcal{L}_{p_\eta} + i\mathcal{L}_{\eta P_\eta} + i\mathcal{L}_\eta
\]  

(5)

Given this form of $i\mathcal{L}$, there are two possible, equally valid strategies of MTS factorisation: the thermostat variables can be considered part of the "fast" terms, as in Ref. 11, or of the "slow" term. We opted for the second choice which corresponds to thermostating only the physically meaningful velocities, those of the outer steps, and allows for a good temperature control. Our NVT-MTS propagator is hence

\[
G(\Delta t) \sim e^{i\mathcal{L}_{\text{NH}} \frac{\Delta t}{2}} e^{i\mathcal{L}_{\text{slow}} \frac{\Delta t}{2}}
\]

\[
\times \left[e^{i(\mathcal{L}_{q,\text{fast}} + \mathcal{L}_p) \frac{\Delta t}{n}}ight]^n e^{i\mathcal{L}_{q,\text{slow}} \frac{\Delta t}{2}} e^{i\mathcal{L}_{\text{NH}} \frac{\Delta t}{2}}
\]  

(6)

Alternatively, if the thermostat variables are included in the "fast" term different options are possible. In particular, the so-called middle-scheme factorisation of the canonical propagator

\[
G(\delta t) = e^{i\mathcal{L}_{p} \frac{\delta t}{2}} e^{i\mathcal{L}_{q} \frac{\delta t}{2}} e^{i\mathcal{L}_{\text{NH}} \frac{\delta t}{2}} e^{i\mathcal{L}_{a} \frac{\delta t}{2}} e^{i\mathcal{L}_{p} \frac{\delta t}{2}}
\]  

(7)

in which the thermostat operator is in the center of the Trotter expansion, has been recently found to be more accurate than other schemes and can also be used as a basis of an NVT-MTS propagation (see Supporting Information).

Besides a mere temperature control, the use of thermostats has also additional advantages in MTS dynamics. The major obstacle to MTS efficiency is the occurrence of resonances that are due to insufficient dynamical decoupling and prevent the use of larger time steps and time step ratios. For a harmonic oscillator, linear resonances arise when the outer time
step equals or exceeds the time period of the fastest vibration, $T_{\text{fast}}$, divided by $\pi$, $\Delta t_{\text{max}} = T_{\text{fast}}/\pi$, hence limiting the maximum value of the outer time step to few femtoseconds for typical covalently bonded systems. The problem has been widely investigated and at least three different approaches based on thermostats have been proposed in order to eliminate or reduce the resonance problem in classical MTS-MD and push the outer time step to larger values. In the "Iso-NHC-RESPA" by Minary et al.$^{24}$ a Nosé-Hoover chain coupled to the physical momenta through isokinetic constraints is used to remove kinetic energy from the system, stabilising the resonances. The "Stochastic-Iso-NH-RESPA" by Leimkuhler et al.$^{25}$ is conceptually similar, but, to achieve higher computational efficiency and better performances replaces the Nosé-Hoover chain with a stochastic Nosé-Hoover thermostat. Finally, the coloured noise thermostat based on Generalised Langevin Equations (GLE) of Morrone et al.$^{29,30}$ designed to enhance canonical sampling in path integral simulations or to mimic nuclear quantum effects in MD for classical nuclei, can be used to increase the outer time step in MTS dynamics.$^{26}$ In the discussion section we will show the performance improvement of our \textit{ab initio} MTS scheme when the GLE thermostat, already available in the CPMD code, is applied in the velocity correction steps.

3 Computational Details

We tested the implementation and the performances of the above-described MTS algorithm on three test systems. An acetone molecule in vacuum and in an aqueous solution of 3524 water molecules were used to test the general implementation of the full quantum and QM/MM MTS schemes, respectively. The interface with the external quantum chemistry codes G09 and TURBOMOLE was tested on an isolated water dimer. In small systems, such as a single acetone molecule, the computational cost of the high level calculation is not significantly higher than the one at low level, hence the computational gain of the MTS approach is marginal. To give a more realistic estimate of the speedup that can be reached through
MTS propagation, we considered a periodically repeated system of 32 water molecules.

Before proceeding with a summary of the computational details of our simulations, it can be useful to clarify the nomenclature adopted throughout the paper to discriminate among the various types of simulations performed. The labels VV and MTS will be used to distinguish the standard Velocity Verlet integration from MTS propagation. These labels can be preceded by the ensemble indication as in NVE-VV or NVT-MTS and, in case of the MTS dynamics, the reference functional and the functional used in the correction can be indicated as REF/HIGH pairs (e.g. LDA/PBE0 NVE/MTS or PBE/MP2 NVT/MTS).

3.1 Acetone

In the full QM simulations of a single acetone molecule and in the QM/MM simulations of an acetone molecule in water solution, the quantum forces were calculated at the DFT level using PBE (reference and correction steps) and PBE0 (correction steps) approximations for the XC functional. Wavefunctions and electron densities were expanded in a plane-wave basis set using an energy cutoff of 70Ry and 280Ry, respectively. Core electrons were implicitly taken into account using norm-conserving Troullier-Martins\textsuperscript{41} pseudopotentials. The \textit{ab initio} calculations for the QM region/QM system were performed for a pseudo-isolated system using the Martyna and Tuckerman’s Poisson solver.\textsuperscript{42} The QM simulation box was cubic and had a 10Å side. In the QM/MM simulations, the acetone molecule was solvated in a 33.24 Å × 32.64 Å × 33.02 Å box of TIP3P\textsuperscript{43} water molecules.

For each system - isolated or solvated - we ran a total of seven NVE simulations: two reference Velocity Verlet runs, using the PBE functional and the PBE0 functional and a time step $\delta t = 0.48$fs, and five MTS runs with the same value of the inner time step ($\delta t = 0.48$fs) and different values of the outer time step, $\Delta t = 0.48, 1.44, 2.40, 3.36, 4.80$fs, corresponding to $n=1, 3, 5, 7$ and 10 times the inner time step.

For the isolated acetone molecule we performed an additional series of seven simulations: two reference VV runs and five MTS$ _n $ runs, with a smaller value of $\delta t$, $\delta t = 0.24$fs, in order
to investigate the impact of the inner time step on the quality of the MTS dynamics. All
the runs relative to the same physical system were started from the same initial conditions,
obtained after an NVT equilibration of 5ps, at T=300K, that, in the case of the QM/MM
system, followed a classical equilibration run. The total length of the NVE trajectories was
30ps, of which only the last 26ps were used for analysis.

### 3.2 Liquid Water

To obtain a more realistic assessment of the MTS speedup, we simulated a system of 32 water
molecules, contained in a cubic simulation box of side L=9.939Å, with periodic boundary
conditions. The density of the system corresponded to the experimental conditions for liquid
water at atmospheric pressure and at a temperature $T = 350K$, and it was chosen to match
previous simulations of water with DFT hybrid functionals. The interactions with core
electrons were accounted for by Trouiller-Martins pseudopotentials. The plane-wave cutoff
for wavefunction and densities were of 70Ry and 280Ry, respectively. We performed a total
of four simulations, all starting from the same initial geometry and velocities, taken from
a pre-equilibrated PBE VV-NVT run at 350K: i) An LDA/PBE0 NVE-MTS simulation,
with inner time step $\delta t = 0.36$fs and four times longer outer time step, $\Delta t = 1.44$fs. ii) An
LDA/PBE0 NVT-MTS simulation, using the coloured noise stochastic thermostat GLE with
inner time step $\delta t = 0.36$fs and 10 times longer outer time step, $\Delta t = 3.60$fs. iii) An
LDA NVE-VV and iv) a PBE0 NVE-VV simulation, both with time step $\delta t = 0.36$fs. The
total length of the trajectories i), ii) and iii) was 25ps while the PBE0 trajectory was shorter
(13ps); in all the cases the initial 5ps were discarded from the analysis.

The values of the parameters determining the form of the memory kernel in the GLE
equations correspond to those optimised and tested by the thermostat developers in Ref.26:
a bath frequency $\omega=1600$cm$^{-1}$, an effective friction $\gamma_\infty=83.3$ps$^{-1}$ and a white noise strength
$\gamma_0=0.01$ps$^{-1}$. 
3.3 Water Dimer

An isolated water dimer was chosen to test the interface between CPMD and G09 or TURBO-MOLE. For the CPMD calculation, the PBE functional, Trouiller-Martins pseudopotentials, an energy cutoff of 90Ry, a cubic simulation box of 15Å and the Martyna-Tuckermann Poisson solver were adopted. The high level forces were obtained at the MP2 level of theory from G09 and TURBOMOLE, using an aug-cc-pVTZ basis set. We ran two trajectories both with an inner step $\delta t = 0.36$fs and outer steps $\Delta t = 1.08$fs (MTS3) and $\Delta t = 1.80$fs (MTS5), of a total length equal to 10ps. Given the relatively low strength of the hydrogen bond, to ensure the stability of the dimer the simulations were performed in the NVT ensemble rather than NVE, applying the Nosé-Hoover thermostat at the outer time step only.

4 Discussion

In a multiple time step dynamics the length of the outer time step $\Delta t$, fixing all the other computational parameters, determines both the efficiency of the calculation and the accuracy of the sampled trajectory. The choice of the ratio between outer and inner time step is then the result of a compromise between efficiency and accuracy.

4.1 Quality Assessment

The quantity that is usually monitored, a posteriori, to evaluate the quality of the dynamics is the time evolution of a conserved quantity. In particular, the reliability of a microcanonical MD trajectory can be quantified by analysing the size of the energy fluctuations:

$$\varepsilon = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left| \frac{E_i - \bar{E}}{E} \right|$$

(8)

where $E_i$ and $\bar{E}$ are, respectively, the instantaneous and the average total energy calculated along a trajectory of $N$ steps. Figure 2 compares the total energy fluctuations obtained in
MTS\textsubscript{n} trajectories with those for VV relative to the same system: acetone in vacuum (panel a)) and in aqueous solution (panel b)). The MTS curves always lie below the VV ones and have a smaller slope: in both systems the outer time step in the MTS trajectories can be enlarged up to $\Delta t \sim 2.5\text{fs}$ without significant accuracy loss. Stable trajectories, although with larger energy fluctuations, can even be obtained with $\Delta t \sim 4.0\text{fs}$. The effect of the inner time step on the stability can also be inferred by comparing, in panel a) of Figure 2, the MTS\textsubscript{n} curves relative to $\delta t = 0.24\text{fs}$ (pink triangles) and $\delta t = 0.48\text{fs}$ (green squares). The offset between the curves is due to the different accuracy level of the VV-like integration of the inner time steps and it diminishes as the outer time step increases, meaning that, only for the smallest values of the outer time step, a more frequent VV integration in the intermediate steps helps reducing the total energy fluctuations.

![Figure 2](image-url)

Figure 2: Logarithm of the average total energy fluctuation $\varepsilon$ as a function of the time step of integration, over VV and MTS\textsubscript{n} NVE trajectories of 26ps for (a) an isolated acetone molecule and (b) an acetone molecule in water. All the trajectories relative to the same system were started from the same initial conditions, as described in Section 3.1. Lines are guides to the eyes.

A further assessment of the correctness of the sampling can be done by comparing structural (e.g. radial distribution function) and dynamical (e.g. anharmonic vibrational spectra) properties obtained from standard and MTS sampling, as illustrated in the following Sections.
4.1.1 Dynamical Properties: Vibrational Frequencies of Acetone in Vacuum and in Solution

Vibrational spectra including anharmonic effects can be obtained as the Fourier transform of the dipole-dipole autocorrelation function calculated along the MD trajectory. The vibrational spectra of acetone in vacuum and in aqueous solution from VV and PBE/PBE0-MTSn trajectories are shown in Figure 3. The position and the broadening of the reference PBE0-VV spectral peaks are nicely reproduced in all the MTS-based spectra and are clearly blueshifted compared to the PBE-VV spectra, meaning that the vibrational frequencies at the PBE0 level are correctly reproduced in the MTS dynamics, even at the largest $\Delta t = 4.8\text{fs}$.

The MTS trajectories also show a superior accuracy compared to VV trajectories of large time steps: in the acetone spectra computed along a PBE0-VV trajectory with a time step $\delta t = 1.92\text{fs}$ (see Figure 3, panel a)) the frequencies of the CH$_3$ modes around 3000cm$^{-1}$ and of the CO stretch mode around 1800cm$^{-1}$ are shifted to higher wavenumbers with respect to the reference. The peak heights, related to the population of the vibrational levels, display a larger variation in the different trajectories. This disagreement can however be expected as the intensities are more sensitive to the sampling and they can be attributed to statistical errors, that can be large along relatively short trajectories.

4.1.2 Structural Properties: Liquid Water

The determination of structural and dynamical properties of bulk water is a challenging task for computer simulations, due to the importance of many body and cooperative effects that determine the peculiar characteristics of this liquid, such as high polarisability, self-dissociation and hydrogen bonding patterns. The results obtained from ab-initio MD simulations crucially depend not only on thermodynamic conditions, but also on several other factors like the electronic structure method, the sampling method (e.g. Monte Carlo, Car-Parrinello MD, Born Oppenheimer MD), the system size and the total length of the trajectories. In particular, a number of DFT-based ab-initio MD studies have
shown the large influence of the adopted XC functional on the predicted properties. At ambient conditions LDA gives largely over-structured radial distribution functions (RDFs) and very small self-diffusion constants, the footprint of a glassy-like behaviour; GGA functionals perform slightly better, but, with some exceptions like the rPBE functional, provide RDFs that are still too structured compared to experimental data and diffusion coefficients that are roughly 10 times smaller than the experimentally measured value, $D(T=45^\circ C) 0.2979 \text{Å}^2/\text{ps}$. Hybrid functionals seem to be necessary to correctly reproduce structural and diffusive properties of liquid water, but there is no general consensus on their performances over a broad range of densities and temperatures. Weak interactions such as London dispersion, that are often not properly taken into account by standard exchange and correlation functionals, give also fundamental contributions to the properties of liquid water and different routes to describe van der Waals interactions within DFT have been devised.

Typically, within a plane wave formulation, the evaluation of exact exchange contributions to the XC functionals increases the CPU time by roughly a factor 100, compared to local or semilocal XC. Given the large differences between LDA- and hybrid-DFT description of water, we have chosen to apply the MTS strategy to liquid water considering LDA forces.
as a reference and PBE0 forces in the correction steps in order to assess the capabilities and limits of the MTS propagation scheme in case of largely differing reference and correction systems.

Panels a) and b) of Figure 4 show the oxygen-oxygen and the oxygen-hydrogen radial RDFs for a periodic system of 32 water molecules, as obtained from LDA, PBE0, LDA/PBE0-(NVE)MTS and LDA/PBE0-(NVT)MTS. For comparison, the RDFs of Refs. 22 and 44 are also shown.

Compared with all the PBE0 curves, the LDA RDFs are much more structured as expected and the OH H-bonding distances as well as the OO distances in the first solvation shell are significantly shifted towards smaller values, as summarised in Tables 2 and 3. Maxima and minima of the RDFs of the MTS dynamics runs coincide with the analogous quantities in the reference PBE0 RDFs as well as with the results from Refs. 44 and 22. In particular, the overlap of the NVE-MTS curve with those of Ref. 22 is almost perfect. We can conclude that the MTS algorithm produces a reliable trajectory even in a limiting case when the reference forces would drive the dynamics towards a very different phase space region than the high level dynamics.

The combination of the MTS dynamics with a GLE coloured noise thermostat allows to maintain stable dynamics with an outer time step above the expected resonance threshold. A comparison of the RDFs from the GLE-MTS runs with the PBE0 reference shows that by using a GLE thermostat the structure of PBE0 liquid water is well reproduced also with an outer time step $\Delta t = 3.6$fs, well above the resonance limit ($\Delta t \sim 2.7$fs). The less structured RDFs obtained from the GLE-MTS trajectory can be attributed to the slightly higher average temperature in this run compared to the reference (372K vs. 357K).

4.1.3 Water Dimer

The interface between CPMD and quantum chemistry codes such as G09 and TURBOMOLE developed here permits various combinations of density functional and ab initio as well as
Figure 4: (a) Oxygen-oxygen and (b) intramolecular oxygen-hydrogen radial distribution functions obtained with LDA-VV MD (blue, dashed), PBE0-VV (red, dashed), LDA/PBE0 NVT-MTS MD (magenta) and GLE-MTS (green). For comparison, the same quantities from Ref. 22 (orange, dotted) and Ref. 44 (light blue, dot-dashed) are shown.

Table 1: Average temperature $\langle T \rangle$ in Kelvin and length $t_l$ in ps of the trajectory used in calculating the RDFs shown in Figure 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$\langle T \rangle$ (K)</th>
<th>$t_l$ (ps)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NVE-LDA</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NVE-PBE0</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NVE-MTS</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLE-MTS</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ref.44</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ref.22</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Position and height of the first maxima and minima of the oxygen-oxygen RDFs shown in Figure 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$r_{max}$</th>
<th>$g_{OO}(r_{max})$</th>
<th>$r_{min}$</th>
<th>$g_{OO}(r_{min})$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NVE-LDA</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NVE-PBE0</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NVE-MTS</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLE-MTS</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ref.44</td>
<td>2.74</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ref.22</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3: Position and height of the first maxima and minima of the hydrogen-oxygen RDFs shown in Figure 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>( r_{\text{max}} )</th>
<th>( g_{\text{OH}}(r_{\text{max}}) )</th>
<th>( r_{\text{min}} )</th>
<th>( g_{\text{OH}}(r_{\text{min}}) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NVE-LDA</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NVE-PBE0</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NVE-MTS</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLE-MTS</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ref. 44</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ref. 22</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

semiempirical wavefunction based electronic structure methods. While CPMD uses a plane wave expansion of the Kohn-Sham orbitals and of the electronic densities, QC codes usually adopt atom-centered basis sets, i.e. through this interface also methods with different basis sets can be combined in an MTS scheme. Figure 5 compares the OH bond distributions along PBE/MP2-MTS1 and a MTS5 trajectories of the same length. In the figure we denote as MP2 the actual PBE/MP2-MTS1 results. MTS1 and VV propagations are indeed equivalent: when the correction is applied every step the contribution of the reference forces to the propagator cancels out and the dynamics is driven by the high level forces only. The average bond lengths extracted from the distributions, \( d_{\text{OH}}^{\text{MP2}} = 1.958(2) \) and \( d_{\text{OH}}^{\text{MTS}} = 1.961(2) \) for the hydrogen bond distance and \( d_{\text{OH}}^{\text{MP2}} = 0.9617(1) \) and \( d_{\text{OH}}^{\text{MTS}} = 0.9617(1) \) for the intramolecular OH bond, are compatible within the error bars, showing that also when both basis sets and electronic structure methods are different the MTS is able to provide the correct sampling.

4.2 Efficiency Evaluation

Defining \( f = \frac{\tau_{vL}}{\tau_{vH}} \) as the ratio between the total simulation time in a MD run using the VV integrator and low level forces, \( \tau_{vL} \), and the total simulation time in a VV MD of same length with high level forces, \( \tau_{vH} \), the ideal speedup achieved by using the multiple time step
Figure 5: Comparison of the bond length distributions in a water dimer along PBE/MP2-MTS1 (equivalent to pure MP2) and PBE/MP2-MTS5 trajectories of 20ps.

The approach can be calculated as

\[
s^{\text{id}} = \frac{\tau_{\text{H}}}{\tau_{\text{MTS-n}}} = \frac{\tau_{\text{H}}}{\frac{1}{n} \left( \tau_{\text{H}} + \tau_{\text{L}} \right)} = \frac{n}{1 + n f}
\]  

If \( f \to 0 \), that is if the time spent in the calculation for the low level forces is negligible compared to the time needed for the high level ones, the ideal speedup tends to \( n \). In practice however the maximum value of \( n \) that can be used is limited by resonances that are occurring when the microcanonical rRESPA scheme is adopted and that can be overcome, as demonstrated above, by the use of coloured noise thermostats.\(^{25,26}\) However the main limitation to the speedup in the present MTS implementation is related to the number of self consistency (SC) cycles needed to re-converge the wave function after a nuclear displacement. For larger steps, the number of SC cycles becomes larger, as an initial guess of the wavefunction based on its history recorded in one or more previous steps becomes less accurate.

Panel a) of Figure 6 compares the ideal and the real speedup for the test systems presented here (acetone in vacuum and in aqueous solution). The factor of roughly 2 that separates the real speedup from the ideal one is due to the essentially linear increase of the number of SC iterations as a function of \( \Delta t \): this is an intrinsic problem, also present in the Velocity Verlet propagation. We explored several possibilities trying to maximise MTS efficiency: panel b)
of Figure 6 shows the LDA/PBE0-MTS speedup for a system of 32 water molecules. The various curves for the real speedup illustrate different ways to obtain the initial guess of the high level wave function: standard extrapolation based on the history of the high level wave function (red squares), initial guess from the low level wave function (dotted red line), same extrapolation as for the low level wave function (dashed blue line), mixing schemes (dash-dotted red line). The non-standard schemes improve the speedup at large time steps, raising the MTS efficiency to the 70%.

![Figure 6: MTS Speedup. Panel a): acetone molecule in vacuum (red curves) and in water (blue curves); Panel b): 32 water molecules, relative to different initial guesses of the wavefunction.](image)

5 Conclusions

We presented an implementation of a multiple time step scheme for *ab-initio* molecular dynamics simulations both in a full QM and a QM/MM context, based on the time-reversible RESPA algorithm originally developed for classical MD. In the presented scheme, nuclear forces are evaluated *ab initio*, at different levels of theory, both in the reference and in the correction steps. Being fully based on *ab initio* forces, this MTS scheme can be applied for a wide range of situations including chemical reactions.

The algorithm has been implemented in a development version of the latest release (version 4.1) of the DFT-based code for *ab initio* molecular dynamics CPMD and allows for a
5- to 6-fold speedup in realistic (bulk) systems where the evaluation of the high level forces in the correction step is the dominant contribution to the total computational time. This speedup corresponds to a significant saving of CPU and human time, with no impact on the sampling accuracy. A newly developed interface with quantum chemistry codes (G09, TURBOMOLE, ...) also makes it possible to go beyond DFT accuracy at a strongly reduced computational cost.

We found two major limitations to the maximum speedup of the method. The first, intrinsic to the MTS procedure and already well described in the literature, is due to resonances of residual high frequency force components with the outer time step. Several schemes have been devised to overcome resonance problems in classical MTS MD, like the use of stochastic thermostats. We showed that applying a GLE coloured noise thermostat in combination with this MTS scheme leads to a correct reproduction of the structure of PBE0 liquid water even when using an outer time step $\Delta t = 3.6\,fs$, well above the expected resonance threshold in water ($\Delta t_{\text{max}} \sim 2.7\,fs$). Combination with the Stochastic-Iso-NH-RESPA thermostat could also be a promising approach to further enlarge the outer time step and reach even larger speedups.

The second limitation to the maximum speedup is due to the initial guess of the (high level) wave function, that becomes less accurate as the outer time step increases. This issue is not present when the interface with QC codes is used to evaluate the forces in the correction steps, but only when they are computed within the CPMD code and it has already been pointed out in other AIMD-MTS implementations. We explored several alternative approaches that might help improving the situation for very large outer time steps. The development of more powerful wave function extrapolation schemes, beyond the scope of the present paper, can further improve the method efficiency bringing the speedup closer to its ideal limit. However, even under non optimal conditions we proved that the present MTS scheme is a versatile and powerful tool, able to speed up \textit{ab initio} MD simulations by almost an order of magnitude, without impacting the computed properties.
Supporting Information

In the supporting information we test a different placement of the thermostat operator in the Trotter factorisation, known as middle-scheme, and an alternative decomposition of the total force. These modifications do not improve significantly the scheme presented in the main text.

This information is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.
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Figure (a) shows the comparison of three different methods: PBE, MTS(δt=0.24fs), and MTS(δt=0.48fs). The graph plots the natural logarithm of the energy (ln(ε)) against the time step (Δt) in femtoseconds (fs). The PBE method is represented by blue circles, MTS(δt=0.24fs) by pink triangles, and MTS(δt=0.48fs) by green squares. The data points indicate a linear relationship between ln(ε) and Δt for each method, with MTS showing slightly better performance compared to PBE at larger time steps.
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